				Agenda Item Supp.2	
			-	REQUIRES MONITORING OR STAFF ACTION	
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE					
ADMINISTRA	TIVE MATTERS		DATE	November 1, 2005	
MOTOR CARE	RIER MATTERS		DOCKET NO.	2005-210-Е	
UTILITIES MATTERS  SUBJECT:  DOCKET NO. 2005-210-E - Application of Duke Energy Corporation for Authorization to Enter into a Business Combination Transaction with Cinergy Corporation – Discuss this matter with the Commission.					
to the parties be Stipulations significantly the Cooperative decide to appropriate testimony and interest. I belief of the Energy In Carolina custom Therefore, I modinterest. Further Users Committee	based on the testimony this Commission and by Duke, the Ses will be beneficial ove the merger, we reviewing the material ve that Duke's expanded and the Commission, I move that the Commission and I move that I move that the Commission and I move the	outh Carolina Energy to South Carolina stale will be the first state rials submitted, I am purison will help it and more, this expansion sion approve the Dukommission adopt the South Carolina and the South Carolina is a single part of the South Carolin	Ouke/Cinergy business co Users Committee, the Of keholders. I understand the commission to approve the persuaded that approval of this state to prosper in the is not being achieved at the e/Cinergy business combi- Stipulation signed by Duke	ollow-up questions submitted ambination and the proposed fice of Regulatory Staff and that if the Commission should his merger. After hearing the fighther than the public new regulatory environment the expense of Duke's South mation as being in the public the south Carolina Energy Duke and the Cooperatives,	
	MOTION YES	NO OTHER	APPROVED		

**DENIED**  $\boxtimes$ **HAMILTON AMENDED HOWARD** Absent **TRANSFERRED**  $\boxtimes$ MITCHELL **SUSPENDED MOSELEY** CANCELED  $\boxtimes$ **WRIGHT** SET FOR HEARING **ADVISED** Session: Regular **CARRIED OVER** Time of Session 2:30 PM

APPROVED STC 30

RECORDED BY

**JBS** 

ACCEPTED FOR FILING

**DAYS** 

Commissioner Clyburn and Commissioner Howard were attending NAWC, National Drinking Water Symposium, in Colorado Springs, Colorado

Absent

**CLYBURN** 

**FLEMING** 

 $\boxtimes$ 

## **Chairman Mitchell's Statement**

I would like to speak in support of Commissioner Fleming's motion, and in support of approving the merger.

First, I note that the expenses of this merger will not cost Duke's customers anything because the direct expenses associated with the merger will be excluded from retail cost of service for ratemaking purposes. Any increases in Duke's debt rates because of downgrading as a result of the merger will be removed for retail ratemaking purposes.

In fact, under the proposal before us, retail electric base rates will be reduced for one year by \$40 million. Also, Duke will extend its bulk power marketing profit sharing through Advance SC for an additional three years until December 31, 2010. Furthermore, the increased efficiencies resulting from the merger should actually help to keep retail rates in South Carolina below the national average.

Also, the "most favored nation" status given to South Carolina will ensure that the percentage of net savings resulting from the merger which flow to South Carolina will be at least equal to the percentage of net savings flowing to any other affected state.

Finally, no significant employment losses are expected to result from the merger in South Carolina. Line workers and rank and file employees in our state should not be affected.

For these reasons, I recommend approval of the settlement and support the motion.

## **Commissioner Hamilton's Remarks**

Mr. Chairman, I also would like to speak in support of this motion. I believe that the Commission should move forward and approve this merger as being in the public interest.

Duke has exercised its business judgment to decide that it should merge with Cynergy. It appears to me that Duke is exercising sound business judgment in entering this agreement. However, as a Commissioner, I also must be sure that the interests of Duke's South Carolina customers are protected by necessary safeguards in the merger proposal. I believe those safeguards are in place.

Specifically, I would refer you to the following aspects of the merger proposal:

- Since each utility will operate as a stand-alone business having its own books and records, the operations, books and records of Duke Power will remain virtually the same as today.
- An auditing of the books and records of Duke Power would reveal any cross-subsidization of other utility subsidiaries by South Carolina ratepayers. In other words, South Carolina's customers won't pay to subsidize Duke's other operations.
- Also, the most favored nation clause in the merger proposal ensures that we will benefit from any terms which Duke extends to other states. There is no "down side" to being the first state to approve the merger.

Therefore, I urge the Commission to approve the merger.